



City of Prineville

387 NE THIRD STREET ♦ PRINEVILLE, OREGON 97754

REGULAR MEETING BRIEF

Full Annotated Recordings Available at:
<http://cityofprineville.com/meetings/>

City Council Meeting Brief February 9th, 2016

Council Members Present:

Betty Roppe
Gail Merritt
Jason Carr
Jack Seley

Steve Uffelman
Jeff Papke
Jason Beebe

Council Members Absent

None.

Additions to the Agenda

None.

Consent Agenda

- A. Regular Meeting Brief 1-26-16
- B. Special Meeting Brief 1-28-16
- C. Special Joint City-County Meeting Brief 2-03-16
- D. Ochoco Brewery – Change in Location Liquor Application

Motion to approve Consent Agenda as presented. Motion seconded. No discussion on motion. All in favor, motion carried.

Visitors, Appearances and Requests:

A. Public Appearances

No one came forward.

B. Ochoco Innovation Station – Darcy Bedortha

Darcy Bedortha provided an overview of the purpose of Ochoco Innovation Station and opportunities it provides to young adults to learn basic life skills and the arts.

Various young adults came forward to share their experiences they have had so far with Ochoco Innovation Station and how they have been able to do things that were not available anywhere else or programs that had been cut from school.

C. Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Presentation – Central Oregon Irrigation District, Shon Rae

Ms. Rae provided background information on the HCP and a presentation. There were discussions regarding the lawsuits filed by WaterWatch and the Center for Biological Diversity combined together and filed for preliminary injunctive relief to alleviate take of the spotted frog which could be catastrophic to irrigation districts and being able to supply water to users. It also delays the HCP process and the more delays the more money it costs. This is expected to go to a judge for a ruling before the end of March. The HCP meetings has been going on over eight years.

D. Crook County Public Safety Facility Advisory Committee Update

Mike O'Herron and Von Thompson provided an overview of what the Public Safety Facility Advisory Committee has accomplished over its past ten meetings. Mr. O'Holleran went over what the groups expectations were and in what time frame, and how it turned out different.

Mr. O'Herron continued with discussions of current jail conditions, renting jail beds from Jefferson County, people committing crimes and being released due to shortages. It is a burden to police services and to the safety of our community.

Mr. O'Herron explained that the committee hired a facilitator who has experience in this area, and conducted a survey and private interviews identifying each of the committee members priorities and is heading into the final stretch. The committee believes that continuing to keep the facilitator through the end of the process is necessary, and will call for \$20,000 in additional funding and is asking the city and county for up to \$7,500 each to finish the work. A similar presentation is coming up for the county.

Mr. Thompson concurred with Mr. O'Herron's statements. There is an objective to come back sometime in April with a recommendation.

There were questions regarding contributions and what the city has paid so far for the study. It may be that something has to be done prior to when the committee would be able to actually get the work done.

Discussions continued with businesses being concerned about moving the public safety services out of the downtown core; and if this ask includes the police department and dispatch; Council could consider a split on a percentage based on what the city involvement would be.

Mr. O'Herron stated that without financial support it would be impossible to finish their work to make a recommendation as to how the city and county should partner together moving forward for this.

Discussions continued regarding tax compression and how some research has been done on this using the prior year's cycle. Mr. Thompson explained that there is about a \$0.40 window with a
City of Prineville Meeting Brief

\$10 per thousand threshold and whether there is a sufficient amount to help without invoking a compression.

Council discussed how it is their responsibility to the community to provide safety, and how a work session is needed to discuss exactly what our police department and sheriffs' office needs are before the council decides. As well as if council does contribute, would it be the last request for funding?

Mr. Thompson explained that the feasibility studies are being considered through this and addressing the need should not just be the responsibility of any one group and each group should be unified in going to the public.

Council shared thoughts regarding funding and the city should not be at 50%, but perhaps 25-30%.

Mayor Roppe asked to get a work session scheduled as soon as possible.

Council Business

A. Adopt Council Goals and Mission Statement – Steve Forrester

Steve Forrester, City Manager stated he didn't have anything to add and that the latest updated versions handed out had it dialed in.

There were no questions.

Councilor Carr made a motion to adopt the Council Goals 2016/2017 and the mission statement. Motion seconded. No discussion on motion. All in favor, motion carried.

B. Adopting Council Community Fund Process – Councilor Papke

Councilor Papke provided an overview as outlined. Councilor Papke referred to paragraph three and explained that the only way it would be considered outside of the budget process is if council deemed the need an emergency that was unforeseen. Councilor Papke asked Carl Dutli to make the language changes to paragraph three.

There were questions.

Councilor Papke clarified that \$10,000 would be part of the community fund to be considered during the budget process, with keeping \$5,000 for those unforeseen emergencies.

Councilor Papke made a motion to approve the Community Fund Process as amended. Motion seconded. Discussion on motion regarding the letter. Mr. Dutli clarified that the process is being approved, not the letter. All in favor, motion carried.

Staff Reports and Requests:**A. Manager's Report – Steve Forrester**

Mr. Forrester stated: the Railway is proud to announce a second anchor tenant at the junction, and provided an overview of the activity and business that is expected with this new tenant which will have an open house; On February 1st a Public Works representative and himself did an annual snow check with Ochoco Irrigation District, and the snow is at 120% for this time of year; and there will be a VTEL meeting this Thursday at Open Campus.

B. Quarterly Finance Report – Lori Hooper

Lori Hooper, Accounting Supervisor explained the methods the city uses in an effort of always increasing transparency, and they are working with auditors on accruals for future reporting on proprietary funds.

Ms. Hooper presented the remainder of second quarter financial report going through each fund.

C. Wastewater SDC Fee's Incentive/Reduction Discussion – Eric Klann

Eric Klann, Public Works Director provided background on the rates and the Wastewater Treatment Facility Plan update, and how we were able to drop our rates due to the update in 2011. Mr. Klann explained the cost of expected projects to complete over the next 20 years; the number of users expected to come online; the method that was used to calculate a realistic fee; how a big portion of the SDC goes to reimbursement cost and explained what that is; with five percent to cover administrative costs. With the amount of hard debt for the wastewater treatment wetlands, fees should be able to be lowered even more, especially with the grants that the city was able to secure.

Mr. Klann went over what the surrounding cities were charging and broke down each of the fees and why they could vary due to each city having unique ways of providing services. SDC's are high but comparable with our neighbors and we should always try to find ways to lower.

Mr. Klann explained what is going to be done in the future; opportunity to lower sewer SDC's; and talked about the neighbor cities not offering affordable housing incentives, except for Bend, and it was unknown at this time how they are going to backfill the funds not collected for affordable housing incentives.

There were discussions on talks looming in Salem that makes Oregon a place that developers do not want to invest in.

Ordinances:

- A. Ordinance No. 1221 – An Ordinance Expanding the Prineville urban Growth Boundary, Amending the Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning Map and Declaring an Emergency (SECOND READING) – Phil Stenbeck**

Phil Stenbeck, Planning Director provided a summary.

Councilor Carr made a motion to approve Ordinance No. 1221 for its second reading and declaring an emergency. Motion seconded. No discussion on motion, motion carried.

Resolutions:

- B. Resolution No. 1280 – A Resolution Annexing Certain Real Property Into the City of Prineville – Phil Stenbeck**

Mr. Stenbeck presented the staff report.

There were discussions on the enterprise zone.

Councilor Uffelman made a motion to approve Resolution No. 1280. Motion seconded. No discussion on motion. All in favor, motion carried.

Visitors Appearances and Requests:

There were discussions regarding the plaza, and the Public Safety Facility Committee presentation.

Mr. Kirkpatrick, agent for Legacy Ranches complimented the city for their level of professionalism from staff and the council in working on this project.

The meeting adjourned at 8:41 P.M.

Motions and Outcomes:

Motion:	Outcome	Uffelman	Carr	Beebe	Merritt	Seley	Roppe	Papke
Approve Consent Agenda as Presented	PASSED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Adopt Council Goals and Mission Statement	PASSED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Adopt Council Community Fund Process as Amended	PASSED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ordinance No. 1221 – An Ordinance Expanding the Prineville urban Growth Boundary, Amending the Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning Map and Declaring an Emergency (SECOND READING)	PASSED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Resolution No. 1280 – A Resolution Annexing Certain Real Property Into the City of Prineville	PASSED	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Public Records Disclosure

Under the Oregon public records law, all documents referred to in this session are available at the City’s website. www.cityofprineville.com. An electronic copy of the meeting packet is available for download at www.cityofprineville.com/packets. A full annotated voice recording of this meeting is available at www.cityofprineville.com/meetings